

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
11 Plaintiff,
12 v.
13 CAMELIA MEZO,
14 Defendant.

Case No. CR04-5242FDB

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

15 This matter is before the court on the motion of Defendant Camelia Mezo for reconsideration
16 of this court's Order Denying Resentencing of March 3, 2005. Defendant argues that the court
17 must reconsider its previous order because it erred in stating that the previous sentencing record was
18 adequate or that Defendant had opportunity to present personal attributes described in 18 U.S.C. §
19 3553(a), and that the court erred when it stated that Defendant failed to present compelling reasons
20 to support aberrant conduct.

21 Having reviewed the motion and balance of the record, the Court finds that the Defendant's
22 motion is without merit. Motions for reconsideration are disfavored. The Court will ordinarily deny
23 such motions in the absence of a showing of manifest error in the prior ruling or a showing of new
24 facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to its attention earlier with reasonable
25

26 ORDER - 1

1 diligence. CrR 12(c)(11)(A). Defendant has not shown a manifest error in the court's prior ruling or
2 demonstrated that there are new facts or law which could not have been brought to the court's
3 attention earlier.

4 ACCORDINGLY,

5 IT IS ORDERED:

6 (1) Defendant's motion for reconsideration (Dkt.#81) is **DENIED**.

7

8 DATED this 15th day of March, 2006.

9
10 
11 FRANKLIN D. BURGESS
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26